

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,335	11/26/2003	Martin Soukup	57983.000166	8387
Thomas E. An	7590 03/25/200 derson	EXAMINER		
Hunton & Wil	liams LLP	ZHU, BO HUI ALVIN		
1900 K Street, Washington, I	N.W. OC 20006-1109		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
g,			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/25/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/721,335	SOUKUP, MARTIN		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BO HUI A. ZHU	2619		

	BO HUI A. ZHU	2619			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED on 02/25/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: 1 box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or,	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.		
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FINOT REFET WAS FIL	LED WITHIN 14VC		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (a) above, if checket. Any reply re-evived by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause		
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying t	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling non-allowable claim(s).					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	planation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and					
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).				
/Hassan Kizou/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2619					

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

In response to the 101 rejection of claim 11, the Applicant alleges claim 11 recites a tangible embodiment because claim 11 does not recite the processor readable medium that carriers the instructions can be a form of signal. However, as best understood based on the disclosure regarding the medium that could store instructions found on page 19 of the specification as the specification never clearly discloses what a processor readable medium can be either a processor readable carriers (e.g. a magnetic disk) or signals via which instructions are transmitted to processors. Therefore, because the specification discloses that the processor readable medium can be a form of signal, claimed subject matter "orcessor readable medium can be a form of signal, claimed subject matter "orcessor readable medium can be a form of signal, claimed subject matter "orcessor readable medium can that other orcessors readable medium can be a form of signal, claimed subject matter "orcessor readable medium can the statutory under 101.

Further more, the Applicant argues that Peng does not disclose determining whether the at least part of the source address matches at least one source address recorded within a predetermined time period prior to arrival of the packet. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Peng discloses matching the IP source address of the incoming packets to the source addresses recorded in a hash table for a time interval as found on page 4, section A of Peng.

Further more, the Applicant argues that Peng does not disclose routing the packet if the at least part of the source address matches at least, one source address recorded within the predetermined time period prior to the arrival of the packet. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Peng as found on page 4 of section A discloses if an address of a packet matches one recorded in the hash table, the arrival time of the packet is recorded in the hash table (the packet is routed). The claim merely recites routing a packet but has no limitation on where to route the packet. Therefore, the Examiner believes that the cited reference can properly and reasonably read the claimed limitation.